

Delivery of Supported Self-Management in Asthma Reviews: A Mixed Methods Observational Study Nested in the IMP²ART Programme of Work

(IMPlementing IMProved Asthma self-management as RouTine)

¹Emma Kinley, ¹Kirstie McClatchey, ¹Hilary Pinnock, ²Liz Steed, ¹University of Edinburgh, ²Queen Mary University London



Background

5.4 million people in the UK are currently receiving treatment for asthma: 1.1 million children and 4.3 million adults.¹

Supported self-management for asthma reduces attacks and improves asthma control.²



However, implementation is challenging.



Only **1 in 3** people with asthma in the UK has an asthma action plan.

Does IMP²ART work?

- 1) Does it increase the number of action plans provided?
- 2) Does it reduce unscheduled care?

How much does it cost?

How does it work?

A UK-Wide team from Asthma UK Centre for Applied research have developed the IMP²ART three level implementation strategy:

- 1) Providing appropriate patient resources**
- 2) Developing professional skills**
- 3) Influencing organisation priorities and routines**

Tested in a UK-wide RCT. **144** GP Practices allocated by randomisation to **IMP²ART (72)** or **usual asthma care (72)**.



PhD Project

Nested within the IMP²ART Study, this PhD project aims to explore how supported self-management is delivered during asthma consultations using motivational and patient-centred strategies. The project will investigate between-group differences of IMP²ART implementation and control groups, and face-to-face versus remote delivery of supported self-management.



Primary Research Questions

To explore the between-group differences, the following research questions will be addressed throughout the project:

1. What proportion of time within a consultation is spent on self-management related tasks?
2. How are patient-centred & behaviour change techniques used in asthma consultations?
3. How do health professionals view the delivery of supported self-management within asthma reviews?

Methods

A three stage, mixed-method, observational study was conducted. Firstly a Rapid Realist Review exploring remote delivery of supported self-management during routine asthma reviews, Video recordings of a sub-sample of the IMP²ART UK-wide cluster-RCT practices (implementation $n \approx 4$; control $n \approx 6$), collecting both face-to-face and remote (telephone/video-conference) reviews, followed by follow-up semi-structured interviews with HCP's.

Results

Initial analysis suggests that HCPs in IMP²ART implementation practices deliver a more patient centred review, use more behaviour change techniques, and spend more time within consultations discussing supported self-management-related strategies. There is no significant difference between HCPs delivery of supported self-management strategies discussed between face-to-face and remote asthma reviews.



Questions to Discuss

We anticipate that the IMP²ART strategies will enable HCPs to embed supported self-management more effectively within asthma consultations, adding to the evidence that HCPs should be provided with specific training to implement a motivating and patient-centred asthma review. Findings will contribute to the IMP²ART process evaluation.



THE UNIVERSITY
of EDINBURGH

1. AsthmaUK. (2020, March 02). Facts and Statistics. Retrieved from Asthma UK: <https://www.asthma.org.uk/about/media/facts-and-statistics/>
2. Pinnock H, et al, for the PRISMS group Systematic meta-review of supported self-management for asthma: a healthcare service perspective. *BMC Medicine* 2017;15:64
3. de Lusignan, S., Kumarapeli, P., Chan, T., Pflug, B., van Vlymen, J., Jones, B., & Freeman, G. (2008). The ALFA (Activity Log Files Aggregation) toolkit: a method for precise observation of the consultation. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 10(4), e27.
4. PersonCentredObservationForm(PCOF). (2020, March 02). Retrieved from <https://depts.washington.edu/fammed/pcof/>
5. Lane, C. (2002). The Behaviour Change Counselling Index (BECCI). Manual for Coding Behaviour Change Counselling.